REELER- RESPONSIBLE ETHICAL LEARNING WITH ROBOTICS

ELS ETHICS - SHOULD SOCIETY BE AFRAID OF ROBOTS?

WORKSHOPS ERF 2017 ELS 1: ETHICS (22.3.17, 14-15:30)

PRESENTATION BY REELER REPRESENTATIVES: CATHRINE HASSE (COORDINATOR, AARHUS UNIVERSITY) AND MARIA BULGHERONI (AB.ACUS)



The Project is funded by the European Union

MAIN OBJECTIVE AND OUTCOME Align roboticists' visions of a future with robots with empirically-based knowledge of human needs and societal concerns. Develop new proximity-based human-machine ethics that take into account how people and society connect with robot technologies.

REELER – HRI PROXIMITY SPECTRUM

(ROBOTS EXEMPLIEVING THE CASE)



HRI: HUMAN PROXIMITY (SOURCE YANCO, DRURY, 2002)



REELER - RESPONSIBLE ETHICAL LEARNING WITH ROBOTICS

Why ethics and why learning:

 \bigcirc

Different (interrelated) meanings of ethics:

Task of anthropology: systems of values and custom instantiated in the lives of particular groups of human beings

'Morality' embedded in these systems: notions of 'right' and 'wrong' Moral principles – the ethical thing to do regarding the circumstances

Crisp, R., "Ethics." Pp. 242–245 in The Shorter Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy. London: Routledge, 2005

REELER – HRI WIDER PROXIMITY SPECTRUM

(ROBOTS EXEMPLIEVING THE CASE)



Wider spectrum: Human readiness levels toward robot acceptance. Roboticists' learning about systems of values and notions of right and wrong from the people's perspective.

WHY ROBOT DESIGNERS SHOULD USE REELER ?

To have REELER roadmap accepted and shared by robot designers and technical people involved at different level in the standard design/development/testing process as well as in the research oriented one, it is important to share concerns and to tune expectations.

The next SWOT analysis aims to supply technical people with a boundle of (good reasons) to accept REELER and implement it in their facilities

WHICH STRENGTHS FOR ROBOT DESIGNERS AND TECHNICIANS?

- Setup of a more robust design process, proactively including end users (not only from the strict functional point of view)
- Awareness of new tools for more effective users involvement in prototyping
- Early clearance of potential ethical issues
- Clear identification of issues specifically related to different Technological Readiness Levels
- Availability of suitable guidelines to support the new process

WHICH WEAKNESSES FOR ROBOT DESIGNERS AND TECHNICIANS?

- Longer design time
- Need of additional professional profiles in early design phases
- Need of new training for developers

WHICH OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROBOT DESIGNERS AND TECHNICIANS?

- Cooperation with people from humanities and social sciences may «open» very technologically oriented minds
- The focus of the design enlarged to include the final product as well as the end user (from what? to why?)
- The technological acceptance of the final product is improved by a more user centered design

WHICH THREATS FOR ROBOT DESIGNERS AND TECHNICIANS IF STATUS QUO?

- Setup of a not agreed and not tuned REELER based design process
- Setup of a very complex process not integrated in standard design/development/testing practices
- No improvement in final product quality

REELER ROADMAP

<u>The main outcome of REELER is a research-based roadmap presenting:</u>

- a) ethical guidelines for Human Proximity Levels,
- b) prescriptions for how to include the voice of new types of users and affected stakeholders through Mini-Publics,
- c) unfolding assumptions in robotics about affected stakeholders through sociodrama
- d) agent-based simulations of the REELER research for policymaking.